## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

		Yes	No	Notes
CRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.8	4)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		×	TO TO THE SECOND PROPERTY OF THE SECOND PROPERTY OF THE SECOND PROPERTY OF THE SECOND PROPERTY OF THE SECOND P
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		入	
R Fu	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	λ		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	:	۲.	
7,	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		X	and the state of the
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		K	Andreas and the second
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		ERAN 77
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		<b>X</b>	